UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

JOHN CAVE and NANCY CAVE as individuals, parents, legal guardians and guardians ad lidem of JOHN CAVE JR.,

MEMORANDUM OF ORDER 07 CV 0542 (ADS)(MLO)

Plaintiffs,

-against-

EAST MEADOW UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT, W. TRESPER CLARKE HIGH SCHOOL, ROBERT R. DILLON as administration of The East Meadow Union Free School District and W. Tresper Clare High School and ROBERT R. DILLON individually and personally, JOSEPH BARBERA as administration of The East Meadow Union Free School District and W. Tresper Clare High School and JOSEPH BARBERA individually and personally, LEON J. CAMPO as administration of The East Meadow Union Free School District and W. Tresper Clare High School and LEON J. CAMPO individually and personally, TIMOTHY VOELS as administration of The East Meadow Union Free School District and W. Tresper Clare High School and TIMOTHY VOELS individually and personally, DEBORAH COATES as President of the Board of Education of EAST MEADOW SCHOOL DISTRICT and W. TRESPER CLARKE HIGH SCHOOL and DEBORAH COATES individually and Personally, BRIAN O'FLAHERTY as Vice President of the Board of **Education of EAST MEADOW SCHOOL** DISTRICT and W. TRESPER CLARKE HIGH SCHOOL and BRIAN O'FLAHERTY individually and Personally, DEBRA KIRSH, as Trustee of the Board of Education of EAST MEADOW UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT and W. TRESPER CLARKE HIGH SCHOOL and DEBRA KIRSH individually and Personally, JUDY SHIECHEL, as Trustee of the Board of Education of EAST

Document 69

Defendants.	
, , 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000	X

CAMPO individually and Personally,

Page 3 of 4

APPEARANCES:

PAUL J. MARGIOTTA, ESQ.

Attorney for the Plaintiffs 1045 Route 109, Suite 106 Lindenhurst, New York 11757

JASPAN, SCHLESINGER & HOFFMAN, LLP

Attorneys for the Defendants 300 Garden City Plaza Garden City, New York 11530

Stephen R. Schlesinger, Esq. Stanley A. Camhi, Esq.

Carol A. Melnick, Esq., of Counsel

SPATT, District J.

This case is about a hearing impaired boy in high school whose family wants him to bring into school and into his classes a dog known as a service dog to assist him in his endeavors and to help train the dog. The school declined to allow the dog into the school on the grounds that the young man is being satisfactorily accommodated already and that the dog would cause problems for the student himself and for other students and teachers and would cause disruptions.

On March 19, 2007 the Court issued a memorandum of decision and order denying the plaintiffs' request for a preliminary injunction against the school district. The plaintiffs appealed from that ruling. On January 23, 2008, the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit found that this Court lacked subject matter jurisdiction over the action because the plaintiffs were required to exhaust the

Case 2:07-cv-00542-ADS-MLO

Document 69

Filed 01/29/2008

Page 4 of 4

administrative remedies available under the IDEA before filing their suit in federal

court. Therefore, pursuant to the ruling of the Second Circuit, the Court will dismiss

the appellants' complaint in its entirety without prejudice for lack of subject matter

jurisdiction.

For the foregoing reasons, it is hereby

ORDERED, that the plaintiffs' complaint is dismissed in its entirety, without

prejudice; and it is further

ORDERED, that the Clerk of the Court is directed to close the case.

SO ORDERED.

Dated: Central Islip, New York

January 29, 2008.

/s/ Arthur D. Spatt

ARTHUR D. SPATT

United States District Judge

4